





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/891,948 | 06/25/2001 | | William P. Apps | RPC 0555 PUS | 1683 |
| 7 | 590 | 06/10/2002 | | | · |
| Konstantine J | . Diamond | l | EXAMINER | | |
| Rehrig Pacific 4010 East 26th | Street | | | CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J | |
| Los Angeles, CA 90023 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3727 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | _ | SS |
|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/891,948 | APPS, WILLIAM P. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Stephen J. Castellano | 3727 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron t, cause the application to become ABANDONI | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | with from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | ar election requirement | |
| Application Papers | r ciconon requirement. | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce | pted or b) objected to by the Exa | aminer. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | _is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr | oved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | ply to this Office action. | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in Applicat | tion No |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (e) (to a provisional application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | * * | |
| Attachment(s) | | • |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/891,948

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the at least one interior member" in line12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apps et al. ('279) (Apps) in view of Hammett ('554) (Hammett), McGrath ('844) (McGrath) and Sauey.

Apps discloses the invention except for the internal columns appear to be the same height as the wall structure. Hammett, McGrath and Sauey teach internal columns of shorter height than the wall structure. It would have been obvious to modify the height of the internal columns to be shorter than the wall structure to provide wider access to an upper portion of the bottle located within a pocket so that a user could grasp a larger portion of the bottle's exterior ensuring a firm grasp of the bottle before it is lifted from the tray.

Claims 1-10 and 12-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apps et al. ('793) or Apps et al. ('002) in view of Hammett ('554) (Hammett), McGrath ('844) (McGrath) and Sauey.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,948

Art Unit: 3727

Page 3

The Apps references disclose the invention except for the internal columns appear to be

the same height as the wall structure. Hammett, McGrath and Sauey teach internal columns of

shorter height than the wall structure. It would have been obvious to modify the height of the

internal columns to be shorter than the wall structure to provide wider access to an upper portion

of the bottle located within a pocket so that a user could grasp a larger portion of the bottle's

exterior ensuring a firm grasp of the bottle before it is lifted from the tray.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular

communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Stephen J. Castellano

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

sic

June 5, 2002